

starting from the new leaves in a direction of the root of the tree, establishing new secrets only in those of the tree nodes which lie within a framework of the tree on a path from the two new leaves to the root of the tree.

6. (New) The process as recited in claim 4, further comprising:

excluding a selected one of the n subscribers from the tree, the excluding step including:

removing a first one of the n leaves of the tree to which the selected one of the n subscribers is assigned;

removing a second one of the n leaves, the second one of the n leaves sharing a common node with the first one of the n leaves, the common node with the first one of the n leaves becoming a new leaf assigned to the one of the n subscribers to which the second one of the n leaves is assigned; and

starting from the new leaf of the tree in a direction of the root of the tree, establishing new secrets only in those of the tree nodes which lie within a framework of the tree on a path from the new leaf to the tree root.

REMARKS

This Preliminary Amendment cancels, without prejudice, original claims 1-3 in the underlying PCT Application No. PCT/EP99/07051. This Preliminary Amendment also cancels, without prejudice, claims 1 and 2 in the revised pages of the annex to the International Preliminary Examination Report. The new claims conform the claims to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office rules and do not add new matter to the application.

The amendments to the specification and abstract reflected in the substitute specification are to conform the specification and abstract to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office rules, and do not introduce new matter into the application.

The underlying PCT Application No. PCT/EP99/07051 includes an International Search Report, issued January 27, 2000, a copy of which is included. The Search Report includes a list of documents that were considered by the Examiner in the underlying PCT application.

The underlying PCT Application No. PCT/EP99/07051 also includes an International Preliminary Examination Report, issued August 1, 2000. A translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report and annex thereto is included herewith.

It is respectfully submitted that the present invention is new, non-obvious, and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the claims are respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

KENYON & KENYON

by [signature] C (Reg. No. 30098)

By: *[signature]*

Richard L. Mayer
Reg. No. 22,490

One Broadway
New York, NY 10004
(212) 425-7200
(212) 425-5288
CUSTOMER NO. 26646

Dated: 9 April 2001

0807481-05401
105790-18720860

PROCESS FOR ESTABLISHING A COMMON CRYPTOGRAPHIC KEY
FOR N SUBSCRIBERS

Field of the Invention

5 The process according to the present invention is used to generate and establish a common cryptographic key for n subscribers in order to guarantee the secrecy of messages which are to be transmitted exclusively to the n subscribers via insecure communication channels.

Background Information

10 The mechanisms of encryption and authentication are used to protect the confidentiality and integrity of communication between two or more persons. However, such mechanisms require the existence of shared information at
15 all subscribers. This shared information is referred to as a cryptographic key.

A [known]conventional process for establishing a common key via insecure communication channels is the process of
20 Diffie and Hellman (DH process; see W. Diffie and M. Hellman, *New Directions in Cryptography*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, IT-22(6):644-654, November 1976).

25 The basis of the Diffie-Hellmann key exchange (DH76) is the fact that it is virtually impossible to calculate logarithms modulo a large prime number p . This fact is utilized by Alice and Bob in the example shown below, in that they each secretly choose a number x and y , respectively, smaller than p (and relatively prime to
30 $p-1$). They then send each other (consecutively or simultaneously) the x -th (and y -th) power of a publicly

known number α . From the received powers, they are able to calculate a common key $K := \alpha^{xy}$ by renewed raising to the power with x and y , respectively. An attacker who sees only α^x and α^y is unable to calculate K therefrom. (The only presently known method of doing so would involve first calculating the logarithm, e.g., of α^x to the base α modulo p , and then raising α^y to that power.)

	Alice	Bob
10	Secretly chooses x	α^x
	----->	
		α^y
	<-----	
15	Forms $K := (\alpha^y)^x = \alpha^{xy}$	Forms $K := (\alpha^x)^y = \alpha^{xy}$

Example of Diffie-Hellmann key exchange

The problem with the DH key exchange described in the example is that Alice does not know whether she is actually communicating with Bob or with an impostor. In IPsec, this problem is solved by the use of public key certificates in which the identity of a subscriber is linked to a public key by a trustworthy authority. The identity of a conversation partner is thereby verifiable.

DH key exchange can also be implemented using other mathematical structures, e.g., using finite bodies $GF(2^n)$ or elliptic curves. Such alternatives make it possible to improve performance. However, this process is only suitable for agreeing upon a key between two subscribers.

Various attempts have been made to extend the DH process to three or more subscribers (DH groups). (An overview of the state of the art is given by M. Steiner, G. Tsudik, M. Waidner, *Diffie-Hellman Key Distribution Extended to Group Communication*, Proc. 3rd ACM Conference on Computer

and Communications Security, March 1996, New Delhi, India.)

[

5]An extension of the DH process to three subscribers A, B and C is described, for example, by the following table. (Calculation in each case mod p):

10

	A \rightarrow B	B \rightarrow C	C \rightarrow A
1st round	g^a	g^b	g^c
2nd round	g^{ca}	g^{ab}	g^{bc}

15

20

After carrying out these two rounds, each of the three subscribers is able to calculate the secret key $g^{abc} \bmod p$.

25

In all these extensions, at least one of the following three problems occurs:

30

- The subscribers must be arranged in a certain manner, for instance in a circle in the above example.
- The subscribers have no influence vis-à-vis the central station on the choice of key.
- The number of rounds is dependent on the number of subscribers.

35

A further process for the common establishment of a key is [known from the] described in German Patent Application No. 195 38 385.0. In this process, however, the central station must know the secret keys of the subscribers.

Summary of the Invention

The present [process is intended to permit] invention can provide the establishment of a common group key between a central station and a group of n subscribers. The [process is to be such] present invention can also provide that, even after the group key has been established, subscribers can be removed from or added to the key directory without great effort.

[T] In accordance with the [objective is achieved by] present invention, a process is provided in which a group key is established with the aid of a tree structure. [According to the invention, t] To that end, the number of subscribers n involved in the key agreement is represented as a binary tree having n leaves. For each natural number n , there are one or more representations of this type. The number of leaves is identical with the number of subscribers included in the process. This means that a number of n leaves of a binary tree of depth $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ is allocated to a number of n subscribers.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Fig. 1 shows a tree structure for three subscribers according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 2 shows a tree structure for a key agreement for four subscribers A, B, C and D according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 3 shows a tree structure of a key agreement for five subscribers A, B, C, D and E according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 4 shows extending the tree structure by one

subscriber for a further embodiment of the present invention according to Fig. 2; and

Fig. 5 shows the removal/deletion of a subscriber from the tree structure for a further embodiment of the present invention according to Fig. 2.

Detailed Description

Fig. 1 shows the operating principle of the process according to the present invention with reference to the tree structure of a key agreement for three subscribers A, B, C.

In order to establish a common key, subscribers A, B and C proceed as follows:

- Subscribers A and B carry out a DH process with randomly generated numbers a and b. They obtain the common key $k_1 = g^{ab} \bmod p$, which is allocated to the common node K1.

- Subscribers A and B on the one side, and subscriber C on the other side carry out a second DH process which is based on common key k_1 of subscribers A and B and on a randomly generated number c of subscriber C. The result is common key $k = g^{k_1 \cdot c} \bmod p$, which is allocated to the root of tree K_w .

[

The process according to the invention is explained in greater detail with reference to exemplary embodiments. Fig. 2 shows the tree structure for a key agreement for four subscribers A, B, C and D.

Fig 3 shows the tree structure of a key agreement for five subscribers A, B, C, D and E.

Fig. 4, on the basis of an already existing tree

structure according to Fig. 2, shows an example for
extending the tree structure by one subscriber.
Fig. 5, on the basis of an already existing tree
structure according to Fig. 2, shows the removal/deletion
of a subscriber from the tree structure.

]

In the following, an example of a key agreement for four
subscribers A, B, C and D is described with reference to
Fig. 2:

In order to establish a common key for four subscribers
(Fig. 2), subscribers A, B, C and D proceed as follows:

- Subscribers A and B carry out a DH process with
randomly generated numbers a and b. They obtain the
common key $k_1 = g^{ab} \bmod p$.

- Subscribers C and D carry out a DH process with
randomly selected numbers c and d. They obtain the common
key $k_2 = g^{cd} \bmod p$.

- Subscribers A and B on the one side, and subscribers
C and D on the other side jointly carry out a second DH
process in which subscribers A and B include key k_1 and
subscribers C and D include key k_2 . The result is common
key $k_w = g^{k_1 \cdot k_2} \bmod p$, which is allocated to the root of
tree K_w .

In the following, an example of a key agreement for five
subscribers A, B, C, D and E is described with reference
to Fig. 3:

In order to establish a common key, subscribers A, B, C,
D and E proceed as follows:

- Subscribers A and B carry out a DH process with
randomly selected numbers a and b. They obtain the common

key $k1 = g^{ab} \bmod p$.

- Subscribers C and D carry out a DH process with randomly selected numbers c and d. They obtain the common key $k2 = g^{cd} \bmod p$.

- Subscribers A and B on the one side, and subscribers C and D on the other side jointly carry out a second DH process in which subscribers A and B include the common key $k1$ and subscribers C and D include the common key $k2$. The result is a common key $k3 = g^{k1 \cdot k2} \bmod p$ for subscribers A, B, C and D.

- Subscribers A, B, C and D on the one side, and subscriber E on the other side carry out a third DH process in which common key $k3$ of subscribers A, B, C and D and a random number e generated for subscriber E are included. The result is common key $k_w = g^{k3 \cdot e} \bmod p$, which is allocated to the root of the tree K_w .

Owing to the structure of the process according to the present invention, it is possible to include new subscribers or to exclude individual subscribers without having to carry out the entire process again for each subscriber.

The addition of a new subscriber is explained in greater detail with reference to a tree structure having four subscribers according to Fig. 4. The starting situation is a tree structure according to Fig. 2, to which a new subscriber is to be added at leaf B.

When a new subscriber is added to an already existing tree structure which possesses a common secret, in order to establish a new common key for $n+1$ subscribers, two

new leaves B1 and B2 are added at a suitable location of the binary tree (leaf B given). The new tree then has $n+1$ leaves and is of depth $\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil$. The subscriber previously assigned to leaf B is assigned to one of the new leaves B1. The new subscriber is assigned to the other leaf B2 still free. The previous leaf B becomes a node K1 for leaves B1 and B2. Starting from new leaves B1 and B2, new secrets are established as far as the root of the tree only in those nodes K which lie within the framework of the tree structure on the path from new leaves B1 and B2 to the root of the tree K_w . In this specific case, they are nodes K1, K2 and K_w .

If the number of subscribers is a power of two, the depth of the tree is increased through this operation by 1 (see previous example). If the number of subscribers is not a power of two, then, through skillful selection of the leaf to be divided, it is possible to avoid an increase of the depth, as shown by the following example:

In order, for example, to add a fourth subscriber to three subscribers, one proceeds as follows (starting from the situation according to Fig. 1):

- Subscriber C carries out a DH process with newly added subscriber D using randomly generated numbers c' and d (c' should differ from the previously selected c , but this need not be the case). The result is $k2' = g^{c'd} \mod p$.

- Subscriber A and subscriber B on the one side, and subscribers C and D on the other side carry out a DH process using the values $k1$ and $k2'$. The result is $k = g^{k1 \cdot k2'} \mod p$.

With such a configuration, subscribers A and B need not

carry out a new key exchange. Generally, it is only necessary to newly agree upon the secrets which lie in the associated tree on the path from the leaf of the new subscriber to root K_w .

5

The exclusion or deletion of a subscriber is explained in greater detail with reference to a tree structure having four subscribers according to Fig. 5. The starting situation is a tree structure according to Fig. 2, from which subscriber B is to be removed.

10

When a subscriber B is excluded or deleted from an already existing tree structure which has a common secret, then, as indicated in Fig. 5, both the leaf of subscriber B who is to be removed and the leaf of subscriber A, assigned to the same common node K_l , are removed. Common node K_l becomes new leaf A' of subscriber A remaining in the tree structure. Starting from the leaves of the tree and going as far as root K_w , new secrets are established only in those nodes K which are directly affected by new leaf A' within the framework of the tree structure in the direction of root K_w . In this specific case, this is only root node K_w . Given such a configuration, subscribers C and D need not carry out a new key exchange. Generally, in this case it is also only necessary to newly agree upon those secrets which lie in the associated tree on the path from the leaf of the partner of the removed subscriber to the root.

15

20

25

30

The process can be [advantageously] further developed in many ways: For example, it is possible to use other groups for forming the discrete exponential function $x \rightarrow g^x$.

35

When a subscriber is added or removed, it is possible, for example, to agree not to use the old secrets, but rather the result of a (possibly randomized) one-way

function for the required new implementations of the DH process.

5

SECRET

[Abstract

The process is to be such that,]

5 Abstract

A process is described which can be used to generate a cryptographic key for a group of subscribers whose number is subject to change. The process can further provide
10 that even after the group key has been established, subscribers can be removed from or added to the key directory without great effort.

[According to the present invention, each of the n
15 subscribers (I) is assigned to one leaf of a binary-structured tree which has precisely n leaves and is of depth $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil$. For each subscriber (I), a secret (i) is generated and is assigned to that leaf of the tree to which the respective subscriber (I) is also assigned.
20 Secrets are established consecutively in the direction of the tree root for all nodes (K) of the tree, two already known secrets always being combined via the DH process to form a new common secret. The last node K_w contains the common key of all n subscribers.

25 The process of the present invention can be advantageously used to generate a cryptographic key for a group of subscribers whose number is subject to change.

30 Fig. 1]